

# Bioengineered SMaRT Human Neural Stem Cells for Scar Degradation and Functional Recovery in a Chronic Spinal Cord Injury Model

Nayaab Punjani<sup>A,C</sup>, Candidate, Hons. BSc., Christopher S. Ahuja<sup>B,C</sup>, MD, Michael G. Fehlings<sup>B,C</sup>, MD, PhD, FRCS, FACS

<sup>A</sup> University of Toronto Scarborough, <sup>B</sup> Department of Surgery [University of Toronto], <sup>C</sup> Genetics and Development [Krembil Research Institute]

### Background: Spinal Cord Injury

Spinal cord injury (SCI) leads to a loss of productivity and daily functional ability.

The cost of care for patients can range from \$1.1-4.6 million US over the course of their lifetime<sup>1</sup>.

At the chronic stage (> 6 months after injury), an inhibitory scar forms from the aggregation of proteins called chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs)<sup>1</sup>. This prevents all cells of the central nervous system (CNS) from regenerating, thus preventing recovery.

Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neural stem cells (hiPS-NSCs) can differentiate into different cell types needed by the central nervous system (CNS)<sup>2</sup>, but they cannot get past the inhibitory scar.

### SMaRT Cells<sup>3</sup>

Spinal Microenvironment Modifying and Regenerative Therapeutic (SMaRT) cells are a genetically-engineered line of hiPS-NSCs that lead to targeted release of CSPG-degrading enzymes to help break down the scar.

2 forms of the SMaRT cell:

- One involves the EF1 $\alpha$  promoter for continuous release of CSPG-degrading enzymes.
  - Focus of this poster
- The other involves the TetON promoter<sup>4</sup>, which requires the antibiotic doxycycline to control the release of enzymes.
  - Study still in development

### Hypothesis

The enzymes released by SMaRT cells will be able to degrade the inhibitory CSPG scar and lead to tissue repair by differentiating into various cell subtypes, thus providing a treatment for chronic SCI.

### Methods

#### Rat Model

The most common injury in humans is at the cervical region of the spinal cord (highest portion)<sup>1</sup>.

The rat model involves the use of a clip contusion injury at the C6-7 portion of the spine, which is a well-established SCI model of traumatic cervical SCI.

Cervical spine of rats has 7 bones C1-C7<sup>5</sup>

#### Study Timeline

Immunodeficient rats (N=60) at the chronic stage of SCI were randomized into one of the following conditions:

- (1) NSCs
- (2) SMaRT enzyme-expressing NSCs
- (3) Vehicle control
- (4) Sham (uninjured) control

#### Behavioural Testing

Behavioural tests were used to assess sensorimotor functional recovery of different aspects:

- Forelimb: IBB (fine movements; A), Grip Strength
- Locomotion: BBB (open-field locomotion; B), CatWalk Gait Analysis (C)

Data collection and analysis of results from behavioural testing is ongoing to determine the degree of functional recovery resulting from these treatments.

### Results

#### In vitro – Cell Analysis

CSPG spot assay - SMaRT cells are able to break down CSPG and grow within the CSPG region compared to conventional NSC (D)

Conventional Human NSCs (C) vs SMaRT Cells (D)

#### Immunohistochemistry (IHC) – Tissue Analysis

Mature neuronal markers and growth seen at 40-weeks post-injury (E)

GFP (Injected human NSCs)  
NF200 (Neurofilaments; mature neurons)  
GAP43 (Axon Growth)

### Conclusions and Future Directions

- SMaRT cells show promise as a potential treatment for spinal cord injury based on the *in vitro* and tissue analysis conducted, however the current *in vivo* results have yet to be fully analyzed to determine the degree of axonal growth, functional recovery, and scar degradation.
- IHC analysis shows that neurons differentiated from SMaRT cells show growth even at 40-weeks post injury, thus demonstrating its potential capacity for cellular regeneration and tissue repair at the chronic SCI stage.
- A new version of the SMaRT cell is currently being assessed in a 22-week study using the TetON human promoter which allows for release of enzymes to be controlled by the intake of the antibiotic doxycycline.

### References

1. Ahuja, C.S., Wilson, J.R., Nori, S., Kotter, M.R.N., Druschel, C., Curt, A., & Fehlings, M.G. (2017). Traumatic spinal cord injury. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 3:17018, 1-21. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.18
2. Khazaei, M., Ahuja, C.S., & Fehlings, M.G. (2017). Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells for Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 4:152, 1-9. doi:10.3389/fcell.2016.00152
3. Ahuja, C.S., Khazaei, M., Baig, S., Jainetri, M., Chan, P., & Fehlings, M.G. (2017). SMaRT Human Neural Stem Cells to Modify Scar and Optimize Regeneration After Traumatic Cervical Spinal Cord Injury [Abstract]. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, 34(13), A-2. <https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2017.29011.abstracts>
4. Addgene (n.d.). *Tetracycline (Tet) Inducible Expression*. [www.addgene.org/collections/tetracycline/#on](http://www.addgene.org/collections/tetracycline/#on).
5. Jaumard, N., Leung, J.M., Gokhale, A.J., Guarino, B.B., Welch, W.C., & Winkelstein, B.A. (2015). Relevant Anatomic and Morphological Measurements of the Rat Spine: Considerations for Rodent Models of Human Spine Trauma. *Spine*, 40 20, E1084-92.
6. Charles River (n.d.). *RNU Rat*. <https://www.criver.com/products-services/find-model/rnu-rat?region=3611>